WITHERS BERGMAN LLP

Brian Dunefsky (BD-3554) Dara G. Hammerman (DH-1591) 430 Park Avenue, 10th Floor New York, New York 10022 212.848.9800 (p) 212.848.9888 (f)

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION
CORPORATION,

Plaintiff,

-against-

BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT SECURITIES, LLC,

Defendants.
-----X
In re BERNARD L. MADOFF,

Debtor

Adversary Proceeding

No. 08-01789 (BRL)

SIPA Liquidation

OBJECTION TO TRUSTEE
IRVING PICARD'S
DETERMINATION OF CLAIM

Angels Park Management SA ("Angels Park"), by and through its attorney of record, Withers Bergman LLP, hereby objects to the determination by Trustee Irving Picard denying Angels Park's claim, number 15355, for the full protection provided under SIPA.

- 1. Pursuant to the order of this Court dated December 23, 2008, on or about July 2, 2009, Angels Park filed a Notice of Claim with the Trustee.
- 2. By letter dated December 8, 2009, the Trustee informed Angels Park that "[b]ased on a review of available books and records of BLMIS by the Trustee's staff, you do not have an account with BLMIS. Because you did not have an account, you are not a

customer of BLMID under SIPA, as that term is defined at 15 U.S.C. § 78lll(2). Accordingly, your Claim for securities and/or a credit balance is **DENIED**."

- 3. Angels Park hereby objects to the Trustee's determination denying its claim on the basis that the determination that Angels Park is not a customer is, as a matter of fact and law, incorrect.
- 4. As the Trustee has previously acknowledged, Madoff structured his scheme such that only a limited number of entities "directly" invested in BLMIS, with investments being made by many investors, such as Angels Park, through the limited number of feeder funds approved by Madoff. The Trustee, in denying such investors' claims, has asserted a highly technical interpretation of the relevant SIPA statutes. However, individuals who invested with BLMIS through feeder funds are exactly the type of investors for whom SIPA was enacted to protect, and they should not be penalized because of the structure Madoff himself created to advance his fraud.
- 5. Upon information and belief, Angels Park understands that certain legislation has been, or may be, introduced seeking to expressly require SIPC to allow the claims of supposed "indirect investors," such as Angels Park. By this Objection, Angels Park hereby expressly reserves its right to benefit from any such legislation or any other legislative measures taken affecting which claimants may recover from SIPC.
- 6. Angels Park refers to the Objections filed by claimants who received letters from the Trustee denying their claims on the basis that they too were not "customers" of BLMIS, and hereby adopts those Objections herein to the extent they are applicable to Angels Park.

825342.2.

7. Angels Park hereby reserves the right to amend or supplement this Objection, and any failure to object on a particular procedural or substantive ground shall not be deemed a waiver of any such rights.

Dated: January 5, 2009

WITHERS BERGMAN LLP

Brian Dunefsky (BD-3554)

Dara G. Hammerman (DH-1591) 430 Park Avenue, 10th Floor New York, NY 10022-3505

Phone: (212) 848-9800 Fax: (212) 848-9888

825342.2.

3